Abstract—A deep evolving stacking convex neo-fuzzy network is proposed. It is a feedforward cascade hybrid system, the layers-stacks of which are formed by generalized neo-fuzzy neurons that implement Wang–Mendel fuzzy reasoning. The optimal in the sense of speed algorithms are proposed for its learning. Due to independent layer adjustment, parallelization of calculations in non-linear synapses and optimization of learning processes, the proposed network has high speed that allows to process information in online mode.

I. INTRODUCTION

D eepp neural networks (DNNs) are currently the most intensively developing direction of Computational Intelligence due to their universal capabilities in solving a variety of information processing tasks. At the same time, DNNs are not without significant drawbacks, the main of which is the low speed of training due to the need to use error backpropagation across multiple layers. In this regard, increasing of the training speed of DNNs is a topical task.

It should be noted here that historically the first deep networks [2] were information processing systems based on the group method of data handling (GMDH) [5], [6], where training was conducted sequentially from input to output, all nodes of the system being independently tuned. Another advantage of the GMDH-networks is the possibility of increasing the number of layers to achieve the required accuracy of the resulting solution. Thus, this network evolves over time [7], [8], increasing the number of layers. It is important that the previously formed layers are not tuned anymore in the process of evolution, that significantly reduces the total training time. Deep neural networks based on GMDH were proposed in [9], [10] that exceeded the known DNNs in learning speed. However, in situations when data under processing are received online in the form of an information stream [11], [12], this learning speed may not be sufficient.

In such situations, it is more preferable to use the idea of cascaded neural networks [13], where each cascade is formed by a pool of neurons, and the input signal of each cascade is formed from the inputs of the network and the outputs of the previous cascades.

The usage of the traditional elementary perceptrons by F. Rosenblatt in the cascades leads to a significant increase in the number of these cascades, that again increases the learning time, although in principle the cascade network can operate in online mode. In connection with this, it was suggested in [14], [15] to optimize the output signal in each cascade, and instead of the usual neurons to use neo-fuzzy neurons (NFNs) [16]-[18], that have high approximating properties.

At the intersection of cascade neural networks and deep stacking neural networks [2] deep stacking hybrid networks have emerged [19], [20], where hybrid generalized additive wavelet-neuro-neo-fuzzy systems (HGAWNNFS) were used as stacks-cascades [21]-[25], synthesized on the basis of hybrid systems of computational intelligence and generalized additive models [26]. These systems showed high quality of information processing and high enough speed, although the computational bulkiness of stacks-HGAWNNFS reduces the speed of the network learning.

In this regard, it is interesting to introduce a deep evolving stacking cascade system, that has high learning speed, good approximating properties and that is simple in numerical implementation.

II. THE DEEP EVOLVING STACKING CASCADE NETWORK ARCHITECTURE

In Fig.1 the architecture of deep stacking cascade network is presented. It contains 8 layers-cascades-stacks [2], [27], [28], each of them is a hybrid system of computational intelligence with high approximating properties.

It can be seen that adding new stacks to the architecture does not require retraining of the already formed layers. Thus, this architecture evolves over time [7], [8], [15] by adding new stacks to achieve the required accuracy.
To the input of the network’s first layer $S_1$ an input vector $x(k) = (x_1(k), ..., x_n(k))^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is fed (here $k = 1, 2, ..., n$ is either the number of the observation in the training set, or the current discrete time index). On the output of this layer an output signal $\hat{y}_1^1(k) = (\hat{y}_{11}^1(k), ..., \hat{y}_{1m}^1(k))^T \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is formed. In the situation when the signal $\hat{y}_1^1(k)$ at the output of the trained $S_1$ satisfies in accuracy all the requirements, i.e. the process of the network forming ends. Otherwise, the second layer $S_2$ is formed, the input of which is an extended vector $x(k), \hat{y}_1^1(k)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ and the output of which is $\hat{y}_2^2(k) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. To the third stack $S_3$ a signal $x(k), \hat{y}_1^1(k), \hat{y}_2^2(k)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2m}$ is fed. And, finally, the input of the $S_g$ is a vector $x(k), \hat{y}_1^1(k), ..., \hat{y}_{g-1}^{[g-1]}(k)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n+(g-1)m}$, and the output of the whole network is $\hat{y}_g^g(k) \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Thus, the network provides a non-linear mapping $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, and the number of layers is limited only by the maximal permissible dimension of the input signal of the $g$th stack. At the same time, when the learning process is paralleled, this restriction is not essential.

It is important that the training of layers-stacks is realized practically independently of each other, and error backpropagation is not required in principle.

III. GENERALIZED NEO-FUZZY-NEURON AS STACK OF PROPOSED NETWORK

As a “building block”-stack of the system under consideration, we propose to use the generalized neo-fuzzy-neuron (GNFN) [29], that is a generalization of the neo-fuzzy neuron (NFN) [16-18] for the multidimensional case. In Fig. 2 the architecture of the first $S_1$ GNFN-layer is presented. It contains $n$ inputs and $m$ outputs. All other GNFN-layers $S_2, ..., S_g$ coincide in architecture with $S_1$ and differ only in the number of inputs. It should be also noted that GNFN has high approximating properties, simplicity of numerical implementation and parallelization of information processing.

A sequence of input signals $x(k) = (x_1(k), ..., x_n(k))^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is fed to the input of a GNFN that is formed by the first layer-stack $S_1$. This stack consists of $n$ multidimensional parallel non-linear synapses $MNS_1^{[i]}$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, each of which has only one input, $m$ outputs, $h$ membership functions $\mu_{\theta_l}^{[i]}(x(k))$, $l = 1, 2, ..., h$ and $mh$ adjustable synaptic weights $w_{\theta_l}^{[i]}$, $j = 1, 2, ..., m$.
It should be also noted that multidimensional non-linear synapses $MNS_{[i]}^{[j]}$ in general case are zero-order Takagi–Sugeno–Kang (i.e. Wang–Mendel) neuro-fuzzy systems, that provide high approximating properties.

As the membership functions in the simplest case we can use triangular ones:

$$
\mu_{i}^{[0]}(x_i) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{x_i - \bar{x}_{i,l}}{x_{i,u} - x_{i,l}}, & \text{if } x_i \in [\bar{x}_{i,l}, x_{i,u}], \\
\frac{x_{i,u} - x_i}{x_{i,u} - x_{i,l}}, & \text{if } x_i \in [x_{i,l}, \bar{x}_{i,u}], \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
$$

They satisfy the conditions of unity partition

$$
\mu_{i}^{[0]}(x_i) + \mu_{i}^{[0]}(x_i) = 1 \quad \text{if } x_i \in [\bar{x}_{i,l}, x_{i,u}].
$$

where $\bar{x}_{i,l}, \bar{x}_{i,u}$, $l = 1, 2, \ldots, h$ are membership functions’ centers, that are in the simplest case evenly distributed on the $x_i$-axis.

The usage of triangular membership functions leads to the fact that at each instant of time $k$ only two neighboring functions fire. This allows to adjust not all $nmh$ synaptic weights on each iteration, but only $2nm$ of them. It is clear that the learning speed can be increased in this case.

IV. DEEP STACKING CONVEX NEO-FUZZY NETWORK LEARNING

The learning process of the system under consideration is its synaptic weights’ adjustment. Due to the cascade architecture of the system, each stack can be trained independently of the others. It is clear that in online mode the learning algorithms used must provide the maximum possible speed, i.e. they have to be based on the Gauss–Newton algorithms of second-order optimization for convex functions. In this case, the network itself is a convex one [30].

The learning process will be considered using the example of the first layer of the system $S_1$. For this, let’s introduce a $(hn \times 1)$-vector of membership functions

$$
\mu_i^{[0]}(x_i(k)) = \left(\mu_{x1}^{[0]}(x_1(k)), \mu_{x2}^{[0]}(x_1(k)), \ldots, \mu_{xn}^{[0]}(x_1(k))\right),
$$

and a $(m \times hn)$-matrix of synaptic weights

$$
W^{[l]} = \begin{pmatrix} 
W_{11}^{[l]} & W_{12}^{[l]} & \cdots & W_{1m}^{[l]} \\
W_{21}^{[l]} & W_{22}^{[l]} & \cdots & W_{2m}^{[l]} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
W_{m1}^{[l]} & W_{m2}^{[l]} & \cdots & W_{mm}^{[l]} 
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

The output of the first layer is a vector

$$
\phi_i^{[0]}(k) = \left(\tilde{y}_{1i}^{[0]}(k), \tilde{y}_{2i}^{[0]}(k), \ldots, \tilde{y}_{ni}^{[0]}(k)\right)^T, 
$$

that further together with the vector $x(k)$ is fed to the inputs of the layer $S_2$ in the form of $\left(x^T(k), \phi_i^{[0]}(k)\right)^T$. Thus, $S_2$ contains $nh$ membership functions and $nmh$ synaptic weights.

Non-linear mapping, realized by this GNFN, in general case can be written in the form

$$
\tilde{y}_{ji}^{[0]}(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{ji}^{[0]} \mu_{i}^{[0]}(x_i(k)) \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \ldots, m \quad (1)
$$

and it significantly depends both on the type of membership functions used and the algorithm for synaptic weights learning.
Thus, the mapping, realized in the first layer, can be written as

\[ y^{[1]}(k) = W^{[1]} \mu^{[1]}(x(k)) \].

Next, let’s introduce the learning error of the \( j \)th component of \( y^{[1]}(k) \) of the output signal \( y^{[1]}(k) \):

\[ e_j^{[1]}(k) = y_j(k) - y_j^{[1]}(k) = y_j(k) - w_j^{[1]} \mu^{[1]}(x(k)) \]

(here \( w_j^{[1]} \) is the \( j \)th row of the weights matrix \( W^{[1]} \), \( y_j(k) \) is the \( j \)th component of the reference signal \( y(k) = (y_1(k),...,y_m(k))^T \) and the standard squared learning criterion of the \( j \)th output

\[ E_j^{[1]}(k) = \sum_k (e_j^{[1]}(k))^2 = \sum_k (y_j(k) - w_j^{[1]} \mu^{[1]}(x(k)))^2. \] (2)

The gradient procedure for minimizing the criterion (2) has a general form

\[ w_j^{[1]}(k) = w_j^{[1]}(k-1) - \eta_j^{[1]}(k) \nabla_{w_j^{[1]}} E_j(k) = \]

\[ = w_j^{[1]}(k-1) - \eta_j^{[1]}(k) \nabla_{w_j^{[1]}} (e_j^{[1]}(k))^2 = \]

\[ = w_j^{[1]}(k-1) + \eta_j^{[1]}(k) \times \]

\[ \times (y_j(k) - w_j^{[1]}(k-1) \mu^{[1]}(x(k))) \mu^{[1]T}(x(k)) \]

where \( \eta_j^{[1]}(k) \) is learning rate parameter for \( S_j \).

It is possible to increase the speed of the learning procedure (3) using either the standard recursive least-squares method (RLSM), that is a second-order optimization procedure:

\[ W^{[1]}(k) = W^{[1]}(k-1) + \frac{e^{[1]}(k) \mu^{[1]T}(x(k)) p^{[1]}(k-1)}{1 + \mu^{[1]T}(x(k)) p^{[1]}(k-1) \mu^{[1]}(k)}, \]

\[ p^{[1]}(k) = p^{[1]}(k-1) - \frac{p^{[1]}(k-1) \mu^{[1]}(x(k)) \mu^{[1]T}(x(k))}{1 + \mu^{[1]T}(x(k)) p^{[1]}(k-1) \mu^{[1]}(k)} \times p^{[1]}(k-1). \] (4)

or the optimized algorithm with tracking and filtering properties [31,32]:

\[ \begin{aligned}
  w_j^{[1]}(k) &= w_j^{[1]}(k-1) + \left( p^{[1]}(k) \right)^{-1} e_j^{[1]}(k) \mu^{[1]}(x(k)), \\
  p^{[1]}(k) &= \alpha p^{[1]}(k-1) + \mu^{[1]T}(x(k)) \mu^{[1]}(x(k))
\end{aligned} \] (5)

where \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \) is smoothing parameter.

The algorithm (5) can be rewritten in the matrix form

\[ W^{[1]}(k) = W^{[1]}(k-1) + \left( p^{[1]}(k) \right)^{-1} e^{[1]}(k) \mu^{[1]T}(x(k))\]

\[ = W^{[1]}(k-1) + \frac{e^{[1]}(k) \mu^{[1]T}(x(k))}{\| \mu^{[1]}(x(k)) \|^2} \]

(6)

that with \( \alpha = 1 \) coincides with the multidimensional version [33] of the Kaczmarz – Widrow – Hoff learning algorithm:

\[ W^{[1]}(k) = W^{[1]}(k-1) + \frac{e^{[1]}(k) \mu^{[1]T}(x(k))}{\| \mu^{[1]}(x(k)) \|^2} \]

\[ = W^{[1]}(k-1) + e^{[1]}(k) \mu^{[1]T}(x(k)), \] (7)

where \( (\cdot)^* \) is pseudo-inversion symbol.

It should also be noted that the Kaczmarz algorithm is optimal by speed in the class of gradient adaptive learning procedures.

All other layers \( S_2,...,S_L \) are adjusted in the same way, however with the increase in the dimensionality of the vector \( \mu^{[1]}(x(k)) \) defined as \( h(n + (g-1)m) \times 1 \), the advantage should be given to the procedures (6), (7), since RLSM (4) can be numerically unstable at high dimensions of the input space.

V. EXPERIMENTS

To demonstrate the efficiency of the proposed system, we solved the classification task for the wine data set [34]. This data set has 13 attributes, 178 instances and 3 classes of wine. We used 80% of the data set to train the system and 20% for testing. For training the Kaczmarz – Widrow – Hoff algorithm (7) was used. The results of the experiment are shown in Table I. Classes predicted by the trained system on the test set are shown in Fig. 3 as a scatter plot of the first two principal components calculated using PCA.

![Fig. 3 Classes of wine predicted by the proposed system](image)

VI. CONCLUSION

In the paper a deep evolving stacking convex neo-fuzzy network is proposed. It is a multi-layered hybrid system of computational intelligence. This network has a feedforward cascade architecture, the layers-stacks of which are formed
by generalized neo-fuzzy neurons that implement Wang–Mendel fuzzy reasoning. Since the output signals of the stacks depend linearly on the adjustable synaptic weights, the optimal in the sense of speed algorithms are used for their learning. Due to independent layer adjustment, optimal in the sense of speed algorithms are used for their learning. Parallelization of calculations in non-linear synapses and learning. Due to independent layer adjustment, optimal in the sense of speed algorithms are used for their learning.

TABLE I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of membership functions</th>
<th>Number of cascades</th>
<th>Number of weights</th>
<th>Train accuracy by cascade</th>
<th>Test accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>1st 0.9648</td>
<td>0.9722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2nd 0.9859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3rd 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>1st 0.9859</td>
<td>0.9722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2nd 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>1st 0.9859</td>
<td>0.9444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2nd 0.9930</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3rd 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>1st 1.0</td>
<td>0.9167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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