The Realisation Of Neural Network Structural Optimization Algorithm
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Abstract—This paper presents a deep analysis of literature on the problems of optimization of parameters and structure of the neural networks and the basic disadvantages that are present in the observed algorithms and methods. As a result, there is suggested a new algorithm for neural network structure optimization, which is free of the major shortcomings of other algorithms. The paper describes a detailed description of the algorithm, its implementation and application for recognition problems.

I. INTRODUCTION

The unit of neural networks is widely used to solve various problems including recognition tasks. The existence of a method for automatic search of neural network optimal structure could provide an opportunity to get the structure of a neural network much faster, that would better suit the subject area and existing incoming data.

Since there are no well-defined procedures for selecting the parameters of a NN and its structure for a given application, finding the best parameters can be a case of trial and error.

There are many papers, like [1-3] for example, in which the authors arbitrarily choose the number of hidden layer neurons, the activation function, and number of hidden layers. In [4], networks were trained with 3 to 12 hidden neurons, and it was found that 9 was optimal for that specific problem. The GA had to be run 10 times, one for each of the network architectures.

Since selecting NN parameters is more of an art than a science, it is an ideal problem for the GA. The GA has been used in numerous different ways to select the architecture, prune, and train neural networks. In [5], a simple encoding scheme was used to optimize a multi-layer NN. The encoding scheme consisted of the number of neurons per layer, which is a key parameter of a neural network. Having too few neurons does not allow the neural network to reach an acceptably low error, while having too many neurons limits the NN’s ability to generalize.

Another important design consideration is deciding how many connections should exist between network layers. In [6], a genetic algorithm was used to determine the ideal amount of connectivity in a feed-forward network. The three choices were 30%, 70%, or 100% (fully-connected).

In general, it is beneficial to minimize the size of a NN to decrease learning time and allow for better generalization. A common process known as pruning is applied to neural networks after they have already been trained. Pruning a NN involves removing any unnecessary weighted synapses. In [7], a GA was used to prune a trained network. The genome consisted of one bit for each of the synapses in the network, with a ‘1’ represented keeping the synapse, while a ‘0’ represented removing the synapse. Each individual in the population represented a version of the original trained network with some of the synapses pruned (the ones with a gene of ‘0’). The GA was performed to find a pruned version of the trained network that had an acceptable error. Even though pruning reduces the size of a network, it requires a previously trained network. The algorithm developed in this research optimizes for size and error at the same time, finding a solution with minimum error and minimum number of neurons.

Another critical design decision, which is application-specific, is the selection of the activation function. Depending on the problem at hand, the selection of the correct activation function allows for faster learning and potentially a more accurate NN. In [8], a GA was used to determine which of several activation functions (linear, logsig, and tansig) were ideal for a breast cancer diagnosis application.

Another common use of GA is to find the optimal initial weights of back-propagation and other types of neural networks. As mentioned in [9], genetic algorithms are good for global optimization, while neural networks are good for local optimization. Using the combination of genetic algorithms to determine the initial weights and back propagation learning to further lower error takes advantage of both strengths and has been shown to allow local minima in the error space of a given problem. Examining the specifics of the GA used in [1] shows the general way in
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which many other research papers use GA to determine initial weights. In [1], this technique was used to train a NN to perform image restoration. The researchers used fitness based selection on a population of 100, with each gene representing one weight in the network that ranged from -1 to 1 as a floating point number. Dictated by the specifics of the problem, the structure of the neural network was fixed at nine input and one output node. The researchers arbitrarily chose five neurons for the only hidden layer in the network. To determine the fitness of an individual, the initial weights dictated by the genes are applied to a network which is trained using back propagation learning for a fixed number of epochs. Individuals with lower error were designated with a higher fitness value. In [9-10], this technique was used to train a sonar array azimuth control system and to monitor the wear of a cutting tool, respectively. In both cases, this approach was shown to produce better results than when using back-propagation exclusively. In [11], the performance of a two back propagation neural networks were compared: one with GA optimized initial weights and one without. The number of input, hidden, and output neurons were fixed at 6, 25, and 4, respectively. Other parameters such as learning rate and activation functions were also fixed so that the only differences between the two were the initial weights.

In [1, 10-12], each of the synaptic weights was encoded into the genome as a floating point number (at least 16 bits), making the genome very large. The algorithm developed in this research only encodes a random number seed, which decreases the search space by many orders of magnitude. Determining the initial values using the GA has improved the performance of non-back propagation networks as well. In [13], a GA was used to initialize the weights of a Wavelet Neural Network (WNN) to diagnose faulty piston compressors. WNNs have an input layer, a hidden layer with the wavelet activation function, and an output layer. Instead of using back propagation learning, these networks use the gradient descent learning algorithm. The structure of the network was fixed, with one gene for each weight and wavelet parameter. Using the GA was shown to produce lower error and escape local minima in the error space. Neural networks with feedback loops have also been improved with GA generated initial weights.

Genetic algorithms have also been used in the training process of neural networks, as an alternative to the back-propagation algorithm. In [14] and [15], genes represented encoded weight values, with one gene for each synapse in the neural network. It is shown in [16] that training a network using only the back-propagation algorithm takes more CPU cycles than training using only GA, but in the long run back-propagation will reach a more precise solution. In [17], the Improved Genetic Algorithm (IGA) was used to train a NN and shown to be superior to using a simple genetic algorithm to find initial values of a back propagation neural network. Each weight was encoded using a real number instead of a binary number, which avoided lack of accuracy inherent in binary encoding. Crossover was only performed on a random number of genes instead of all of them, and mutation was performed on a random digit within a weight’s real number. Since the genes weren’t binary, the mutation performed a “reverse significance of 9” operation (for example 3 mutates to 6, 4 mutates to 5, and so on). The XOR problem was studied, and the IGA was shown to be both faster and produce lower error. Similar to [2], this algorithm requires a large genome since all the weights are encoded.

Previously, genetic algorithms were used to optimize a one layered network [18], which is too few to solve even moderately complex problems. Many other genetic algorithms were used to optimize neural networks with a set number of layers [1-2, 11, 13, 19-20]. The problem with this approach is that the GA would need to be run once for each of the different number of hidden layers. In [19], the Variable String Genetic Algorithm was used to determine both the initial weights of a feed forward NN as well as the number of neurons in the hidden layer to classify infrared aerial images. Even though the number of layers was fixed (input, hidden, and output), adjusting the number of neurons allowed the GA to search through different sized networks.

A wide range of algorithms is used to build the optimal neural network structure. The first of these algorithms is the tiled constructing algorithm [21]. The idea of the algorithm is to add new layers of neurons in a way that input training vectors that have different respective initial values, would have a different internal representation in the algorithm. Another prominent representative is the fast superstructure algorithm [22]. According to this algorithm new neurons are added between the output layers. The role of these neurons is the correction of the output neurons error. In general, a neural network that is based on this algorithm has the form of a binary tree.

In summary, the papers mentioned above studied genetic algorithms that were lacking in several ways:

1. They do not allow flexibility of the number of hidden layers and neurons.
2. They do not optimize for size.
3. They have very large genomes and therefore search spaces.

The algorithm described in this article addresses all of these issues. The main goal of this work is to analyze the structure optimization algorithm of neural network during its learning for the tasks of pattern recognition [23] and to implement the algorithm using program instruments.

II. THE ALGORITHM OF STRUCTURAL OPTIMIZATION DURING LEARNING

Structural learning algorithm is used in multilayer networks and directs distribution networks and has an iterative nature: on each iteration it searches for the network structure that is better than the last one. Network search is performed by sorting all possible mutations of network and by selection and combination of the best ones (selection and crossing).

Consider the basic parameters of the algorithm.

Learning parameters:

- learning rate: $\eta$;
• inertia coefficient: $\mu$;
• damping weights coefficient: $\varepsilon$;
• probability of hidden layer neuron activation: $p_{hi}$;
• probability of input layer neuron activation: $p_{ii}$.

Structured learning parameters:
• initial number of neurons in the hidden layer;
• activation function for the hidden layer;
• activation function in the output layer;
• maximum number of mutations in the crossing;
• number of training epochs of the original network;
• number of training epochs in the iteration;
• acceptable mutation types;
• part of the training sample used for training.

III. ELEMENTARY STRUCTURAL OPERATIONS ON NEURAL NETWORK

According to [24] the following basic structural operations on the network have been introduced:
• adding a synapse between two randomly selected unrelated network nodes or neurons – operation $\text{Syn}_{\text{ADD}}$;
• removing the synapse between two randomly selected unrelated network nodes or neurons – operation $\text{Syn}_{\text{DEL}}$;
• moving synapse between two randomly selected unrelated network nodes or neurons – operation $\text{Syn}_{\text{MOD}}$;
• changing the activation function of the neuron to randomly selected neuron – operation $\text{A}_{\text{MOD}}$;
• serialization of the node or the neuron – operations $\text{Ser}_{\text{NODE}}$ and $\text{Ser}_{\text{NR}}$;
• parallelization of the node or the neuron – operations $\text{Par}_{\text{NODE}}$ and $\text{Par}_{\text{NR}}$;
• adding a node or a neuron – operations $\text{Add}_{\text{NODE}}$ and $\text{Add}_{\text{NR}}$;
• create a new layer – operation $\text{L}_{\text{ADD}}$;
• removing the layer NN – operation $\text{L}_{\text{DEL}}$.

The use or nonuse of described structural operations depends on the complexity of the task.

For recognition problems that will be described in this article operations (mutations) described in [25] are used.

IV. ALGORITHM IMPLEMENTATION

Internally neural networks are presented as numeric matrix sequences of each layer weight except for the input one. In Fig.1 the matrix sequence for [2-3-2] network type is showed: hidden layer matrix 2x3 and output layer one 3x2.

Each element $a_{ij}$ in matrix $A_k$ equals to weight value between $i$ and $j$ network neurons.

For realization of different types of mutations, the operations on matrices are used. When adding a new neuron to the layer a combination of adding operations of new matrix row and column is implemented. In Fig. 2, 3 and 4 the realization of neuron addition to the input, hidden and output layers has been presented.

To extract neurons opposing operations are used. In Fig. 5 there is a realization of extraction of a second neuron in the hidden network.

When adding a new layer, the new weight’s matrix insertion operation is performed.

Since some operations change matrices’ structures, there is a certain difficulty in their combination. For example, when extracting the hidden layer $O_3$ neuron in [2-3-2] network the $O_4$ neuron in the resulting network will shift...
one position and become $O_3$ neuron; when adding new hidden layer, that contains 4 neurons in front of existing hidden layer, next layer will shift one position. When combining different mutations their step-by-step execution has to be done in a strict order, which depends on type and parameters of each mutation. In Listing 1 there is a code fragment implemented in Clojure [26], that executes combined mutation. At first the mutations that do not change structures - addition and extraction of connections, are executed, then the addition of new neurons and extraction of existing ones is executed; new layers are added at the end. Mutations which extract neurons, are executed in neuron number decrease order, similarly as layer addition - in new layer index decrease order.

(defmethod mutate ::combined
  [net {:keys [mutations]}]
  (let [grouped-ms (group-by :operation mutations)
        layer-ms ::add-layer grouped-ms
        safe-ms (mapcat grouped-ms [:identity ::add-edge ::del-edge])
        safe-del-node-ms (reverse (sort-by #(second (:deleted-node %)) del-node-ms))
        safe-layer-ms (reverse (sort-by :layer-pos layer-ms))
        ms (concat safe-ms add-node-ms safe-del-node-ms safe-layer-ms))
    (reduce mutate net ms))

Listing 1 - Code fragment implemented in Clojure, that executes combined mutation

One of the Clojure [8] benefits over other programming languages is usage of unchangeable data structures - collections and containers, the content of which cannot be changed. In return, while trying to add a new element to the collection the new substance of the collection will be created containing this element. The operation of creating a new collection is optimized this way: both objects will use the mutual part of collection. In Fig. 6 the result of adding object 5 to the end of array [......] is showed. V denotes an old collection object, v2 denotes newly created collection object.

- program parallelization simplicity - unchangeable data can be used in parallel without any need to synchronize threads;
- no problems with memory leaks;
- caching simplicity;
- major memory economy in some cases.

Due to these characteristics of unchangeable structures the main part of an algorithms work is done in parallel with maximum computing resources usage.

The developed system has a client-server architecture. A system deployment diagram is showed in Fig. 7. In general the system consists of 2 parts:
- server application, which does neural network learning and implements structure optimization algorithm;
- client application, which implements GUI

Clojure has been used to implement the server application. The Java platform [27] has been used as a runtime environment.

For the GUI implementation, the ClojureScript - Clojure dialect [26], executed in JavaScript, has been used.

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESEARCH

The implemented program system is used to research problems of human face recognition. The face image database of Yale university was used as output data [28].

**Sampling** 10 different persons and 50 different images of each person were selected. Each image has been scaled to the size of 26x26 pixels and coded into 676-dimensional vector, the values of pixels’ brightness were normalized to 0…1 range. Each output class representing a particular person was coded into a 10 element vector which contains 9 zeroes and a single 1 at a different index. The obtained 500 samples were randomly divided into training and testing sets 2:1.

In Fig. 8 the source images and images used for neural network learning are showed.

Programming with unchangeable data structure usage makes programs much easier to understand.

**Architecture of source network.** A network architecture which is shown in Fig. 9 was used to evaluate the work of the algorithm.
During 40 iterations of the algorithm 300 extractions and 128 additions of synapses were carried out. In Fig.10 and Fig. 11 the dependency of price and precision values of classification from amount of implemented learning epochs has been presented. Received values are shown in Table 1.

Due to connections’ optimization structure we could lower false classification percentage to 4.2% on testing set.

An experiment has also been made in which $T_i=3$, which is shown in Fig. 12 and Fig. 13.

During 100 iterations of the algorithm 645 extractions and 457 additions of synapses were carried out. We could lower the false recognition percentage from 7.8 to 6.0 on testing set. The result is shown in Table 2.

**Table 1 The resulting accuracy of image classification for $T_i=5$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type NN</th>
<th>Training, %</th>
<th>Testing, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Common</td>
<td>97.59</td>
<td>93.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>98.19</td>
<td>95.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2 The resulting accuracy of image classification for $T_i=3$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type NN</th>
<th>Training, %</th>
<th>Testing, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Common</td>
<td>98.79</td>
<td>92.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimized</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>94.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 12 Image classification accuracy for $T_i = 3$

Fig. 13 Price value for image classification for $T_i = 3$

VI. CONCLUSION

The problem of a structural optimization algorithm implementation was considered in this article, and the possible appliance of this algorithm in an image recognition problems was analyzed. Due to the optimisation structure of connections we could lower the false classification percentage to 4.2% in the testing set and also we could lower the false recognition percentage from 7.8 to 6.0 in the testing set. The proposed algorithm has flexibility in the number of hidden layers, neurons and links.

The obtained results prove the efficiency of the proposed algorithm for using with recognition problems.
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