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Abstract—Based on intensive cooperation with four large companies, a comparative analysis of the recent developments in industry, university organizations, computer science and software technology is presented. Within this context, also the Industry 4.0 phenomena is discussed. This paper further identifies the necessary organizational structures of universities to assist companies in their transition processes, defines the relevant sub-disciplines in computer science and finally describes the software engineering and technology challenges in designing and implementing economical and robust industrial systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

INDUSTRY 4.0 aims at increasing the efficiency and effectiveness of manufacturing processes with the help of large-scale computerization. It is stated that Industry 4.0 is the 4th industrial revolution in the history of manufacturing. Publications on Industry 4.0 generally try to set-up a conceptual framework to explain what the Industrial 4.0 phenomena is. There are also some ongoing efforts on structuring universities and knowledge institutes so that their research and education activities can seamlessly support this upcoming transition towards Industry 4.0. We consider Industry 4.0 as a natural development in continuous transition from traditional to modern manufacturing processes. Since transitions occur with the help of technology, organizational structure of universities are crucial in accomplishing the Industry 4.0 objectives. The role of computer science and software technology is undisputable, since the success of Industry 4.0 largely depends on effectiveness and efficiency of computing systems. Based on our experience with four large high-technology companies, this paper introduces the attributes of the phenomena Industry 4.0 and beyond, depicts the required organizational structures of universities to assist companies in their transition processes, identifies the relevant sub-disciplines in computer science and finally describes the software engineering and technology challenges in designing and implementing economical and robust high-quality Industry 4.0 systems.

II. INDUSTRY 4.0 AND BEYOND

The term Industry 4.0 refers to computerization of manufacturing processes. There are four principle scenarios in Industry 4.0 [1]:

- **Interoperability** meaning that sensors, devices, machines, and people can connect and exchange information with each other.
- **Information transparency** meaning that a rich set of data can be gathered from various sources.
- **Technical assistance** meaning that machines, systems, processes, human beings, etc. can be intelligently and effectively assisted to monitor, control and optimize the overall manufacturing process.
- **Decentralized decisions** meaning that subsystems can autonomously take decisions where possible.

It is claimed that Industry 4.0 is the 4th industrial revolution in the history of manufacturing [2]. With Industry 4.0, it is expected that machines and systems will become more self-aware and self-learning so that their effectiveness and maintenance can be improved. In addition, due to networked data gathering and intelligent and autonomous process control, the manufacturing processes will be much more efficient and effective than traditional manufacturing processes.

One criticism to these claims is that industrial innovation is continuous and as such one cannot talk about a revolution [3]. Moreover, although there are some attempts to define the technical implications of Industry 4.0, it seems that Industry 4.0 touches to a large set of disciplines from sensors, industrial manufacturing to computer science and software technology (CS-ST). In particular, almost all disciplines of CS-ST are relevant for Industry 4.0.

We think that the concepts relevant to Industry 4.0 must be defined and understood in the process of on-going transition from traditional to modern manufacturing processes. It is important to stress that such transitions are not abrupt in nature but gradual, depending on the characteristics of manufacturing, technological and societal progresses. In the following we will make an attempt to compare traditional and modern manufacturing processes with each other.

Traditionally, the term industrial manufacturing referred to labor-intensive factories with specialized product portfolio. Production processes and products to be manufactured had to be predefined precisely. Process and product control and optimization activities were carried out in each phase of production separately. Due to advent of new technologies and changes in social structures, however, there have been
continuous changes to the ways how industrial manufacturing is realized. For example, during the last two to three decades, the following transitions have been observed in highly industrialized countries:

1. Knowledge intensive manufacturing instead of labor/resource-intensive manufacturing. There is a greater dependence on intellectual capabilities than on physical inputs or natural resources [4].

2. Focus on owning and managing knowledge and skills and intellectual property rights instead of focusing on labor/resource intensive manufacturing processes [5]. When planned carefully and in certain circumstances, it may be much more profitable to outsource some activities and manufacturing processes [6].

3. Dynamically managed and optimized, multi-asset portfolio instead of fixed/ad-hoc, single-asset portfolio. The advantages are risks reduction, controlled risk taking, capital preservation and enhanced returns [7][8].

4. Mass customization instead of mass production. Mass customization is the automated manufacturing of tailored products. It has the combined advantages of the low unit costs of mass production with the flexibility of building products for more customer satisfaction [9].

5. Proactive self-organizing companies instead of inflexible hierarchically-organized companies. Such new organizational structures aim at effectively responding to changing markets and business contexts [10].

6. End-to-end alignment and optimization of (manufacturing) processes instead of focusing only on the improvement of individual phases. This improves companies due to enhancement of the whole supply-chain [11].

7. Multi-disciplinary usage of teamed personnel instead of working with solely operating individuals. Teaming helps organizations in continuous improvement, understanding complex systems, and in successful innovation [12].

8. Organizing businesses/enterprises globally through networks instead of isolated and/or localized organizations. This is an increasing necessity for any entrepreneurship and value creation [13]-[15].

9. Improved time-to-market instead of long sequences of research, design, manufacturing and marketing phases [16].

10. Intensive use of state-of-art CS-ST as the “main enabler” of modern businesses instead of considering CS-ST just like any other technical skill. CS-ST is essential in fulfilling the requirements of modern businesses, such as described in [9][11][13]-[16].

11. Strong cooperation with universities for the purpose of innovation instead of considering universities mainly as theoretical institutions that educate people [17]-[19].

From a rather narrow perspective, the term Industry 4.0 refers to autonomous cyber physical systems. Transitions that we observe in industry and formulated in 11 items in this section give a more comprehensive picture of this phenomena. They also refer to changes in business, manufacturing, marketing, organizational and industry-university cooperation processes. The related technical challenges will be discussed in the following sections of this paper. It is clear from these items that Industry 4.0 refers to (or a new name of) a part of a natural transition in manufacturing processes which has been taking place since several decades. We therefore term this list as “a list of attributes of manufacturing processes for Industry 4.0 and beyond”.

III. ORGANIZING UNIVERSITIES FOR INDUSTRY 4.0 AND BEYOND

Traditional universities have contributed to industries by educating engineers, applied mathematicians, administrative personnel, managers, etc. Within this context, two main categories of activities have been considered essential:

- Research, where academic personnel of the university are expected to be expert in certain fields. The selection of the topic of a field is not necessarily derived from industrial and societal needs; it can be ad hoc. The expertise is quite specific and theoretical. The excellence is measured according to number of publications in certain pre-classified journals.

- Education, where academic personnel of the university are expected to give lectures in their fields of expertise and examine the students by appropriate tests. In addition, students are expected to be supervised in writing their theses.

We believe that traditional universities with these characteristics cannot fulfill the requirements as demanded by modern industrial manufacturing processes and businesses as formulated in the previous section. Moreover, classical education methods, like long lectures followed by classical examinations cannot give the necessary education baggage to the students as desired. As such, we think that the following strategical and tactical changes are required:

1. Excellence in knowledge and in-depth specialization for academic personnel are still required. However, the specializations must be derived from the needs of the targeted society and industry instead of ad-hoc selection of topics; along this line, the topics must be synthesized through the scope of industrial and societal mid-term and long-term objectives. Otherwise, universities cannot be equipped with the necessary expertise in supporting companies in their innovative processes.
2. The academic personnel must learn to work together in multi-disciplinary teams. For example, theory-oriented persons must be able to work with practically-oriented-persons, and vice versa, different experts in the same discipline or among different disciplines, must cooperate together in university-industry joint projects. Otherwise, the complex problems of industry and society cannot be addressed effectively.

3. The academic personnel must be proactive in forming networks to cooperate with national and international institutions and colleagues not only from his/her own discipline but also from other disciplines. This is necessary to share expertise, to jointly define the desired research agenda, and to find solutions to complex industrial and societal problems.

4. The academic personnel must be flexible enough to adapt themselves in changing demands from industry and society. Otherwise, in due time, the expertise of academic personnel can be outdated or become less relevant.

5. The education process must be tailored to answer the mid-term and long-term needs of industry and society:
   - It must focus on the core concepts instead of hypotheses.
   - It must focus on gaining analytical skills, critical thinking and reasoning instead of memorizing what are in the books.
   - It must aim at teaching problem solving/synthesis instead of gaining knowledge which cannot be utilized for solving actual problems.
   - It must emphasize working in multi-disciplinary projects instead of only focusing on mono-disciplinary exercises.
   - It must enhance communication skills, such as oral and written presentation and argumentation skills instead of educating students with non-communicative and introvert attitude.
   - It must aim at increasing consciousness of students in ethical concerns instead of educating students with irresponsible and/or indifferent attitude.

6. The university must create suitable organizational structures to enable the academic personnel efficiently and effectively fulfil the objectives listed above. These include:
   - **Proactive and self-adaptive organization** to support the objectives of the university in dynamically changing contexts.
   - Organization to set-up and carry-out multi-disciplinary projects for industry and society.
   - Organization with an **award system to motivate** the academic personnel and students along the objectives of the university.
   - Organization which **emphasizes CS-ST** since it is the “main enabler” of all disciplines at the university.

To derive the required specializations within universities the following activities can be carried out:

1. **Understanding the context** of the university.
2. **Defining the strategic needs** of companies and society.
3. **Analyzing the current structure** of the university.
4. **Identifying the strong and weak points** of the university.
5. Based on the observations of the future trends as stated in the paper, **formulate a transition plan**.

These steps look quite obvious but due to involvement of many stakeholders, they are harder to implement than one may expect. While realizing the transition, the following quality attributes can be considered:

- **Relevancy**: The university must be highly relevant in addressing technical and social needs. To this aim, research, education and organization activities must be defined in close cooperation with the relevant companies and societal organizations.
- **Alignment with the current state-of-the-art research**: The research and education activities to be carried out must advance the state-of-the-art so that the companies and businesses can be matured to be the leaders in their context.
- **Cross-fertilization**: Different university research and education activities can benefit from each other. To maximize the benefit, it is important to strongly coordinate the related activities with each other.
- **Industry-as-laboratory**: To identify the relevant problems and to test the proposed solutions, it is important that the principle investigators and the affiliated (Ph.D. and/or M.Sc., etc.) students visit the companies regularly and carry out experiments within industrial and societal context. To this aim, companies must provide personal assistance and industrial case studies.
- **Academic research steering committee**: To coordinate the activities effectively and efficiently, it is important to mentor the students and monitor the progress of research and education activities and evaluate them with respect to the desired objectives. To this aim, academic research steering committees can be established where all the relevant stakeholders participate.
There have been also some attempts to classify universities according to their contributions to industry and society. To this aim, the concept of University 4.0 has been introduced. In [20], University 4.0 is defined as “an university which is outward looking, deeply connected to industry and the communities around it, and committed to serving the needs of its students”. The definition of University 4.0 is largely consistent with our observations about the necessary changes of university organizations as presented in this paper. However, we consider evolution of industries and as well as universities as continuous processes which influence each other. Although correlated, it looks like that the developments around Industry 4.0 and University 4.0 currently are not structurally related. That is, both developments can actually be viewed and realized independent of each other. Our focus in this paper is more from technological perspective, which needs to be supported by dedicated methods. We consider discussions around University 4.0 is useful, but the conceptualization of this terminology is still in a premature state.

IV. THE DEVELOPMENTS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY

CS-ST is the main force in almost all industries; it creates added value for products and businesses. There is almost no product in the market which does not contain software or is not produced by a process controlled by software. To accomplish the objectives of Industry 4.0 and beyond, advanced CS-ST is needed.

There are all kinds of hypes over CS-ST in the popular media. Nevertheless, the recent developments in CS-ST are more or less shaped around the following topics:

1. Large infrastructures, service-oriented architectures, cloud computing, systems-of-systems, ecosystems [21]-[23].
2. Sensors, Internet of Things (IOT), and pervasive computing [24]-[26].
3. Big data and big data analytics [27]-[29].
4. Security and cybersecurity [30][31].
5. Cyber-physical systems [32].
6. Artificial intelligence and related topics including computational intelligence, machine learning and multi-agent systems [33]-[36].
7. Graphical processing, visualization and human-machine interaction including virtual reality [37][38].
8. High performance, and/or multi-core/parallel architectures including parallel programming [39].
9. Theoretical and practical work on algorithms and/or constraint-based “solvers” [40][41] to address a large category of mathematical problems. In general algorithms/solvers are applied to every category of computer science specializations listed in this section.
10. Software (engineering) methods and techniques [42] to fulfil the functional and qualitative requirements of software systems. The concepts of software engineering can be applied to every computer science specialization listed in this section.

V. THE ROLE OF SOFTWARE ENGINEERING METHODS AND TECHNIQUES

Economical, sustainable and robust software systems which fulfil functional and qualitative requirements are essential for all software systems. To accomplish the requirements of Industry 4.0 and beyond, software engineering methods and techniques are crucial. No matter how intelligent a software solution is, if it cannot be realized with the desired quality attributes, one cannot expect an economical value out of it. As such software engineering methods and techniques can be defined as crosscutting (meta-level) concerns that relate to all developments within CS-ST. In addition, many recent developments in computer science are more and more utilized within software engineering methods and techniques. The trends in software engineering methods and techniques therefore cannot be considered separately from the recent developments in computer science. For example, big data analytics and machine learning techniques are increasingly used to tune and optimize software engineering models and methods, cloud architectures and ecosystems are becoming part of software development environments, visualization techniques are used to detect anomalies in software architecture, etc.

After 4 years of intensive cooperation with high-tech industry, for example, we have identified the following trends [19]:

1. Product-line instead of product design. Most products are developed and manufactured by specialized companies, which market families of products. It is not economical to develop each product from scratch [43][44].
2. Systems of systems instead of systems perspective. Software systems for Industry 4.0 are generally adopted in large distributed settings. Scale-ability and interoperability of systems are essential. Systems of systems architectures, are therefore the natural candidates of the platforms of Industry 4.0 architectures [23].
3. Ecosystem design instead of platform design. Software ecosystems are an effective and economical way to construct large software systems for Industry 4.0 on top of a software platform by adding up software modules developed by different actors. In ecosystem design software engineering is spread outside the traditional borders of software companies to a group of companies and private persons [45].
4. Auto-adaptive control architectures instead of architectures without any control mechanisms. To realize the monitoring and controlling activities in Industry 4.0 and to cope with the changing requirements and context, software systems are expected to be more re-
active and self-adaptive. This generally requires built-in feedback control mechanisms in software. Self-adaptation can be realized at system level, subsystem level and/or at component-level. In addition, different styles can be adopted, such as single, master-slave, hierarchical and/or peer-to-peer control architectures [46]-[47].

5. Distributed problem solving including distributed algorithms, coordinating systems and multi-agent architectures instead of centralized problem solving with monolithic and/or localized architectures. Since computer systems for Industry 4.0 are distributed, to reduce complexity and enhance reliability, algorithms and intelligence in systems must be distributed as well. Accordingly, programming languages and techniques must adequately support distributed programming efforts by offering expressive and flexible abstractions [48]-[52].

6. Model-based development instead of straight-forward programming. Since more and more companies are specialized in certain product categories and in manufacturing processes, deriving software architecture from relevant domain models can help in reducing complexity, enhancing reuse and testability/verifiability of software systems. Model-based development has been adopted in various approaches such as product-line engineering (SPLE), model-driven engineering (MDE), domain specific architectures (DSA) and domain-specific programming languages (DSL), model-based verification (MBV), etc. [53]-[56].

7. Multi-objective optimization instead of ad-hoc hand-crafted and/or single objective optimization. Along the line of model-based development, various algorithmic techniques and search-based methods have been introduced to compute the “optimal” architectural decomposition with respect to certain quality attributes. In addition, various run-time optimization techniques can be adopted in computing optimal control strategies and scheduling processes [57]-[59].

8. Modularization of semantic concerns instead of traditional abstraction mechanisms based on implementation concerns such as data or function. As a consequence of model-based development, software abstractions more and more correspond to the concerns of models. The concerns of a model are naturally based on the semantics of the model, and these cannot always be effectively represented as a data or function. Moreover, concerns in Industry 4.0 systems can be emerging meaning that they may appear or disappear dynamically. As such, programming languages and techniques must adequately support programming efforts by offering expressive and flexible abstractions for emergent semantic concerns [60] [61].

9. A rich set of composition mechanisms instead of a fixed set of language constructs for hierarchical organization of programs (such as class-inheritance). To support flexibility in control strategies and to cope with various evolution schemes, languages must offer generic and/or domain specific composition mechanisms to express, for example, object, aspect and event compositions and transformational techniques in a uniform manner. The languages must maintain their closure property in compositions so that scaleability of systems can be provided [62]-[67].

10. Uniform integration of verification techniques instead of independent tool- and technique-specific verification approaches. There are various model-based verification and testing approaches available. Examples are model-checking, static and dynamic analysis, run-time verification, model-based testing, adopting model-specific verification (simultaneously) based on continuous and/or discrete models, etc. Most of these techniques are complementary and as such combined usage of these may help in finding faults with less false-positive and false-negative cases [62][67]-[69].

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Industrial manufacturing today differs considerably from the past and there is a strong evidence that this trend will also continue in the future. The Industry 4.0 phenomena should be considered in this context. The main force behind this chance is the continuous evolution in CS-ST. Naturally, universities are indispensable elements of this progress. It is therefore important to carefully monitor and comparatively understand the recent developments in industry, and accordingly understand the impact of the trends in university organizations, computer science and software technologies.
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