Optimized Method based on Lattice Sequences for Multidimensional Integrals in Neural Networks
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Abstract—In this work we investigate advanced stochastic methods for solving a specific multidimensional problem related to neural networks. Monte Carlo and quasi-Monte Carlo techniques have been developed over many years in a range of different fields, but have only recently been applied to the problems in neural networks. As well as providing a consistent framework for statistical pattern recognition, the stochastic approach offers a number of practical advantages including a solution to the problem for higher dimensions. For the first time methods for solving a specific multidimensional problem related to neural networks, will be discussed in our numerical study.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 2011 Shaowei Lin in his works [5,6] consider the problem of evaluating multidimensional integrals in Bayesian statistics which are used in neural networks. The first has the form

$$\int_{\Omega} p_1(x) \ldots p_n(x) dx,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^s$, $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_s)$, $p_i(x)$ are polynomials and $\alpha_i$ are integers. The second one has the form

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{-Nf(x)} \phi(x) dx,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $f(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ are multidimensional polynomials and $N$ is an integer number. These integrals are evaluated unsatisfactory with deterministic [11] and algebraic methods [9] up to now, and it is known that Monte Carlo methods [2,3] outperform these methods especially for high dimensions.

We will now give a brief explanation which demonstrates the strength of the MC and QMC approach [3]. According to [3] we will choose 100 nodes on the each of the coordinate axes in the $s$-dimensional cube $G = E^s$ and we have to evaluate about $10^{100}$ values of the function $f(x)$. Assume a time of $10^{-7}$ s is necessary for calculating one value of the function [3]. So, a time of order $10^{93}$ s will be necessary for computation of the integral, and 1 year has $3.1536 \times 10^{7}$ s.

Now MC approach consists of generating $N$ pseudo random values (points) (PRV) in $G$; in evaluating the values of $f(x)$ at these points; and averaging the computed values of the function. For each uniformly distributed random (UDR) point in $G$ we have to generate $100$ UDR numbers in $[0,1]$. Assume that the expression in front of $h^{-6}$ is of order 1 [3]. Here $h = 0.1$, and we have $N \approx 10^6$; so, it will be necessary to generate $100 \times 10^6 = 10^8$ PRV. Usually, 2 operations are sufficient to generate a single PRV. According to [3] the time required to generate one PRV is the same as that for computation the value of $f(x)$. So, in order to solve the task with the same accuracy, a time of

$$10 \times 10^7 \times 2 \times 10^{-7} \approx 20s$$

will be necessary. We summarize that in the case of 100-dimensional integral it is $5 \times 10^3$ times faster than the deterministic one. That motivates our study on the new highly efficient stochastic approaches for the problem under consideration.

II. THE NEW STOCHASTIC APPROACH

We will use this rank-1 lattice sequence [10]:

$$x_k = \left\{ \frac{k}{Nz} \right\}, \hspace{0.5cm} k = 1, \ldots, N,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $N$ is an integer, $N \geq 2$, $z = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_s)$ is the generating vector and $\{z\}$ denotes the fractional part of $z$. For the definition of the $E^s_\infty(c)$ and $P_n(z, N)$ see [10] and for more details, see also [1].

Definition 1: Consider the point set $X = \{x_i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, N\}$ in $[0, 1]^s$ and $N > 1$. Denote by $x_i = (x_i^{(1)}, x_i^{(2)}, \ldots, x_i^{(s)})$ and $J(v) = [0, v_1] \times [0, v_2] \times \ldots \times [0, v_s]$. Then the discrepancy of the set is defined as

$$D_N := \sup_{0 \leq v_j \leq 1} \left\{ \frac{\# \{x_i \in J(v)\}}{N} - \prod_{j=1}^{s} v_j \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)
In 1959 Bahvalov proved that [1] there exists an optimal choice of the generating vector \( z \): 
\[
\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sin \left( \frac{k}{N} z \right) - \int_{[0,1]^s} f(u) du \right| \leq \frac{c d(s, \alpha) N^{\beta(s, \alpha)}}{N^\alpha},
\]
for the function \( f \in C^0([0,1]^s), \alpha > 1 \) and \( d(s, \alpha), \beta(s, \alpha) \) does not depend on \( N \).

The generating vector \( z \) which satisfies (5), is an optimal generating vector [10] while the existence of optimal generating vectors is proved by the theoretical result, the main bottleneck lies in the construction of the optimal vectors, especially for very high dimensions [3].

The first generating vector in our study is the generalized Fibonacci numbers of the corresponding dimension:
\[
z = (1, F_n^{(s)}(2), \ldots, F_n^{(s)}(s)),
\]
where we use that \( F_n^{(s)}(j) := F_n^{(s)}(j-1) - \sum_{i=0}^{j-2} F_n^{(s)}(i) \) and \( F_n^{(s)}(l) = 1, \ldots, j-1, j \) is an integer, \( 2 \leq j \leq s \) is the term of the \( s \)-dimensional Fibonacci sequence [10].

If we change the generating vector to be optimal in the way described in [4] we have improved the lattice sequence. We will now give the description of the steps of our algorithms. At the beginning of the algorithm the input is the number of dimensionality \( s \) and the number of samples \( N \). At the first step of the algorithm \( s \)-dimensional optimal generating vector
\[
z = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_s)
\]
is generated by the fast construction method described by Dirk Nuyens [4]. The second step of the algorithm includes generating the points of lattice rule by formula
\[
x_k = \left\{ \frac{k}{N} z \right\}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, N.
\]
And at the third and last step of the algorithm an approximate value \( I_N \) of the multidimensional integral is evaluated by the formula:
\[
I_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sin \left( \frac{k}{N} z \right).
\]
The special choice of this optimal generating vector is definitely more efficient than the Fibonacci generating vector, which is only optimal for the two dimensional case [10]. For our improved lattice rule is satisfied [4]:
\[
D_N = O \left( \frac{\log^a N}{N} \right).
\]
The steps of working of the algorithm are given on the flowchart on Fig. 1.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

We considered different examples of 4, 7, 10, 30 and 100 dimensional integrals, respectively, for which we have computed their referent values.

Example 1. \( s = 4 \).
\[
\int_{[0,1]^4} x_1 x_2 e^{x_3 x_4} \sin(x_3) \cos(x_4) \approx 0.108975.
\]

Example 2. \( s = 7 \).
\[
\int_{[0,1]^7} \frac{3}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{7} \sin \left( \frac{x_i}{\pi} \right) \arcsin \left( \sin(1) + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{7} x_i}{200} \right) \approx 0.7515.
\]

Example 3. \( s = 10 \).
\[
\int_{[0,1]^{10}} \frac{4 x_1 x_2 e^{2x_1 x_3}}{(1 + x_2 + x_4)^2} e^{x_5 + \cdots + x_{10}} \approx 14.808435.
\]

Example 4. \( s = 30 \).
\[
\int_{[0,1]^{30}} \frac{4 x_1 x_2 e^{2x_1 x_3}}{(1 + x_2 + x_4)^2} e^{x_5 + \cdots + x_{30}} x_{21} \cdots x_{30} \approx 3.244.
\]

We also consider the 100-dimensional multidimensional integral defined by the following way:
Example 5. \( s = 100 \).
\[
I_{100} = \int_{[0,1]^{100}} \exp \left( \prod_{i=1}^{100} x_i \right),
\]
whose reference value is calculated by expanding the exponential function in Taylor series and integrating the terms \( (x_1 \cdots x_{100})^n \) namely
\[
\int_{[0,1]^{100}} \exp \left( \prod_{i=1}^{100} x_i \right) =
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)!^{100} n!} F_{100}(1, \ldots, 1; 2, \ldots, 2; 1),
\]
where \( F_{100}(a_1, \ldots, a_p; b_1, \ldots, b_q; x) \) is the generalized hypergeometric function
\[
pF_q(a_1, \ldots, a_p; b_1, \ldots, b_q; x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \cdots (a_p)_n x^n}{(b_1)_n \cdots (b_q)_n n!},
\]
and \( (c)_n = c(c+1) \cdots (c+n-1) \) is the Pochhammer symbol.
We make a comparison between the optimized lattice sequence with an optimal generating vector (OPT), Fibonacci lattice sets (FIBO), Latin hypercube sampling (LHS) [7] and the scrambled Sobol sequence (SOBOLS) [8]. Each Table below contains information about the stochastic approach which is applied, the obtained relative errors (REs), the needed CPU-time in seconds and the number of points. Note that when the FIBO method is tested, the number of sampled points are always generalized Fibonacci numbers of the corresponding dimensionality. The computer working architecture is Core i7-4710MQ at 2.50GHz and 8GB of RAM. We performs 10 algorithmic runs using MATLAB on CPU Core i7-4710MQ for the algorithms to validate our assumptions of experimentalism.

### Table I
ALGORITHM COMPARISON OF THE REs FOR THE 4-DIMENSIONAL INTEGRAL FOR DIFFERENT NUMBER OF POINTS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of points</th>
<th>OPT</th>
<th>LHS</th>
<th>FIBO</th>
<th>LHS</th>
<th>FIBO</th>
<th>LHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.11e-4</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.01e-4</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>8.66e-4</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.13e-5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>8.59e-5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.61e-4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>3.36e-5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3.89e-5</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>3.01e-3</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>9.14e-7</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>3.01e-3</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>4.18e-5</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141312</td>
<td>4.78e-7</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>7.11e-4</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>2.39e-3</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II
ALGORITHM COMPARISON OF THE REs FOR THE 4-DIMENSIONAL INTEGRAL FOR A PRELIMINARY GIVEN TIME.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of points</th>
<th>OPT</th>
<th>LHS</th>
<th>FIBO</th>
<th>LHS</th>
<th>FIBO</th>
<th>LHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.66e-7</td>
<td>5.62e-6</td>
<td>3.34e-5</td>
<td>6.32e-4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.12e-7</td>
<td>5.38e-7</td>
<td>9.18e-6</td>
<td>1.23e-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.14e-8</td>
<td>3.77e-7</td>
<td>6.31e-6</td>
<td>8.36e-6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.18e-8</td>
<td>2.67e-8</td>
<td>2.31e-6</td>
<td>1.16e-6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table III
ALGORITHM COMPARISON OF THE REs FOR THE 7-DIMENSIONAL INTEGRAL FOR DIFFERENT NUMBER OF POINTS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of points</th>
<th>OPT</th>
<th>LHS</th>
<th>FIBO</th>
<th>LHS</th>
<th>FIBO</th>
<th>LHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>6.99e-4</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.81e-3</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>5.85e-3</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7936</td>
<td>3.23e-4</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>3.36e-3</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>2.11e-3</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15801</td>
<td>1.23e-5</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>9.19e-4</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>8.31e-4</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62725</td>
<td>3.15e-6</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>2.78e-5</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>6.22e-4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124946</td>
<td>1.12e-6</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>6.87e-5</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>4.34e-4</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numerical results show significant advantage for the optimized lattice sets algorithm based on an optimal generating vector in comparison with FIBO, LHS and SOBOLS scramble sequence (1-2 orders). For the 4-th dimensional integral the best approach is produced by the optimized method OPT - a relative error $4.78e-7$ for $N = 147312$ - see Table I and for 20s the best approach is FIBO - $2.67e-8$ in Table II with two orders better results than both SOBOLS and LHS. For the 7-th dimensional integral the best approach is produced by the optimized method OPT - a relative error $1.12e-6$ for $N = 124946$ - see Table III and for 20s the best approach is OPT - $7.43e-7$ in Table IV with one order better REs than FIBO and two order better REs than both SOBOLS and LHS. For the 10-th dimensional integral the best approach is produced by the optimized method OPT for $N = 3524578$ the
Table IX
ALGORITHM COMPARISON OF THE RES FOR THE 100-DIMENSIONAL INTEGRAL FOR DIFFERENT NUMBER OF POINTS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of points</th>
<th>OPT</th>
<th>Fs</th>
<th>FIBO</th>
<th>LS</th>
<th>LHS</th>
<th>SOBOLS</th>
<th>LHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>5.18e-3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>4.13e-1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>5.18e-2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>6.31e-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212</td>
<td>3.18e-3</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>3.12e-1</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>3.22e-2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.23e-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.18e-2</td>
<td>3.18e-2</td>
<td>2.31e-3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>9.31e-2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.31e-3</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>6.38e-5</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>3.18e-2</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>4.51e-2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.34e-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table X
ALGORITHM COMPARISON OF THE RES FOR THE 100-DIMENSIONAL INTEGRAL FOR A PRELIMINARY GIVEN TIME.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L s</th>
<th>OPT</th>
<th>FIBO</th>
<th>LS</th>
<th>SOBOLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.14e-3</td>
<td>7.18e-2</td>
<td>2.85e-2</td>
<td>9.31e-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.56e-3</td>
<td>6.02e-2</td>
<td>1.17e-2</td>
<td>8.66e-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.58e-4</td>
<td>4.12e-2</td>
<td>8.34e-3</td>
<td>6.94e-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>8.86e-6</td>
<td>1.13e-2</td>
<td>1.18e-3</td>
<td>3.88e-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RE is $5.32e-8$ - see Table V and for 20s the best approach is again OPT - $9.13e - 9$ in Table VI with two order better RESs than FIBO and 3-4 order better RESs than both SOBOLS and LHS. For the 30-th dimensional integral the best approach is produced by the optimized method OPT for $N = 1048576$ and LHS - see Table VII and for 20s the best approach is again OPT - $2.33e - 5$ in Table VIII with one order better RESs than both SOBOLS and LHS and 3 order better RESs than both FIBO, which shows that FIBO becomes inefficient for high dimensions. Finally, for the 100-th dimensional integral the best approach is produced by the optimized method OPT for $N = 2^{20}$ the RE is $6.38e - 5$ - see Table IX and for 20s the best approach is OPT - $8.86e - 6$ in Table X with 4 order better RESs than FIBO and 3 orders better RESs than SOBOLS and LHS. From all Tables we can conclude that the optimized lattice sequence OPT, used for the first time for the evaluation of this type of multidimensional integrals up to 100 dimensions, gives the best results compared to the other stochastic approaches with increasing the dimensionality of the multidimensional integral.

IV. Conclusion

In this paper an optimized lattice rule has been tested on multidimensional integrals reflected to neural networks up to 100 dimensions. A comprehensive experimental study of optimized lattice rule, Fibonacci lattice sets, Sobol scrambled sequence and Latin hypercube sampling has been done on some case test functions. Our approach is one of the best available algorithms for high dimensional integrals and the only possible methods, because the deterministic algorithms need an huge amount of time for the evaluation of the multidimensional integral, as it was discussed in this paper. At the same time the new method is suitable to deal with 100-dimensional problems for less than a minute on a laptop. It is an important element since this may be crucial in order to achieve a more reliable interpretation of the results in Bayesian statistics which is foundational in neural networks, artificial intelligence and machine learning.
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